

Hofstadler 1985

Main summary:

Cognition is the easy problem, the representations and sub-cognitive computations that allow for fluid, human-like cognition is the real division between hard and soft AI, or intelligent and algorithmic systems.

Intro

-Searle's Chinese Room problem as the foundation for the articles' main argument

Background

-sub 100ms processes are yet to be adequately understood

-letter forms(more than just recognition) as a 'frictionless' toy system illustrating the importance of sub-cognitive processes

Cognitive vs. sub-cognitive

-current, high level cognitive solutions involve contrived/controlled scenarios. Systems don't learn in an abstract domain they learn particular instantiations

-anagrams as a toy dataset(seems like the problem hofstadler was currently working on), and how human architecture(working memory) can be used to inform how the underlying mechanisms might be recruited.

-sub-cognitive(or sub-conscious) processes are inherently hidden from us, and therefore we haven't agreed upon a model of how they 'should' work. The only way to effectively approximate these processes, then is to produce systems isomorphic to the human hardware/mechanisms

Symbols

-ant colony metaphor(collective phenomena); individual ants have no knowledge of the message their group is conveying to the colony, similarly individual groups naively coordinate together to represent information

-active vs. passive symbols

-neuron is passive(ant)

-representations are active(groups)

-systems are super-active(groups of groups)?

-current AI approaches treat all symbols as passive, and behave as symbol manipulators

-human intelligence emerges from the symbols simply being active, and the natural cascading of their activation to other symbols

-these active symbols are sub-cognitive and do not 'symbolize' in the cognitive way that AI generally attempts to characterize them(i.e. Searle's argument)

Intuitive physics(exact vs. generalized solutions)

-symbols with halos (cluster of alternative versions of itself)

-active symbols depend on its constituents to behave semi-randomly to provide these halos, and the statistics of the group will reign them into coherency

-emergence of meaning(cognition) occurs through the statistics of the passive symbols groups form active symbols, which also transcend their individual role to fit into larger super-active symbols, and so on

-cognition emerges from statistics of the passive symbols and not the formal logic of current AI approaches